www.courtreportingny.com

STATE OF NEW YORK :	COUNTY OF ROCKLAND
TOWN OF STONY POINT :	PLANNING BOARD
IN THE MATTER OF OAK RIDGE PARK	X
	Town of Stony Point 19 Clubhouse Lane Stony Point, New York December 14, 2023 7:53 p.m.
BEFORE:	
MARK JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN ROLAND BIEHLE, BOARD MEMBER MICHAEL FERGUSON, BOARD MEMBER ERIC JASLOW, BOARD MEMBER JERRY ROGERS, BOARD MEMBER	
2 Conger New City,	CORANGE REPORTING CS Road, Suite 2 New York 10956 (S) 634-4200

Proceedings

2.2

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. Next on the agenda, Oak Ridge Park. Hey, Vahid, how you doing?

MR. ROSTAMI: So just a quick overview of the project. Oak Ridge is a re-subdivision, so previously approved to be a standard layout of eight lots in 2007. So the lot size is 14.6 acres. The zone is RR. Currently, we are seeking a re-subdividing this lot to provide four new lots. Okay.

So the neg dec was adopted in September meeting. And the public hearing was open and closed in the October meeting. So now we are here with an updated plan that showed this four lots and average density. And providing the rest of the lot as a conservation buffer so the steeper slopes will not be disturbed, or kept to an acceptable square footage allowed by the code.

And now we're basically looking at the next step as to move forward with the discussion about the zoning variance that might be required, or not. So that's the

1 Proceedings 2 current thing we also want to discuss. 3 MR. HONAN: Vahid, there's been a recent 4 change in the map. There was a new map 5 issued yesterday, I believe. And it's with 6 respect to perhaps Lot 5. Is that the 7 right --8 MR. ROSTAMI: The map -- yeah. Lot 5 9 was increased. The area was increased, so 10 from what it was. But the rest of the lots 11 remain within the average density bulk 12 requirement. 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did we get those 14 maps? 15 THE CLERK: No. He hasn't submitted 16 them. 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. 18 MR. HONAN: I was just concerned when I 19 saw the Lot 5 indicated on the new plat, that 20 it didn't comply with zoning. So I just want 21 to make sure that, how we're going to take 22 care of that, whether that's going to be 23 something under the average density that we 24 can approve. I think that was the -- the

plan was not to go to the ZBA, correct? You

25

4

1	Proceedings
2	didn't think you needed to go to the ZBA.
3	Something with a setback and maybe front yard
4	were changed?
5	MR. ROSTAMI: Same thing, but yeah. So
6	Lot 5 was not part of the, like, the
7	subdivision, but part of the application. So
8	if you consider Lot 5 as an average density,
9	then we can revise the bulk table not to
10	require the
11	MR. HONAN: Okay. Because I think we'll
12	talk to Max and to John O'Rourke when they
13	get back with regard to how to handle that.
14	Because if you don't really, if you don't
15	need to go to the ZBA, prefer not to do that
16	so we can move this application along. But I
17	think we just need to be on the same page,
18	everyone and this Board, how we're going to
19	handle it.
20	MR. ROSTAMI: Okay.
21	MR. HONAN: I think once that lot comes
22	into the subdivision, I know there's an
23	existing house on it. Once the lot
24	boundaries change, you'll have to make sure
25	that it's going to be in compliance.

1 Proceedings 2 MR. ROSTAMI: Okay. 3 MR. HONAN: We can deal with it somehow, 4 either through average density or some other. 5 MS. JUSTINIANO: You may also be able 6 to -- in some cases, you don't have to meet 7 compliance as long as you're not increasing 8 noncompliance. 9 MR. HONAN: Right. You're not 10 increasing the prior nonconformity. 11 MS. JUSTINIANO: Yeah. So if it's a 12 nonconformity, and it's either maintaining 13 that nonconformance or decreasing it, even if 14 it's not bringing it up to compliance, it 15 would be at the Board's discretion. Right. I think we should 16 MR. HONAN: 17 probably discuss that at our next TAC meeting 18 to make sure that everything is copacetic. 19 MS. JUSTINIANO: Yeah. Because we 20 haven't received the plans either to 21 officially review them. 2.2 MR. HONAN: Okay. 23 MS. JUSTINIANO: I will say, though, you 24 guys will, with the cul-de-sac design of how

25

it was, the Planning Board would ultimately

6 1 Proceedings 2 need to give a waiver for the design of the 3 cul-de-sac. Just to keep that in mind. 4 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It was oddly shaped, 5 if I remember. 6 MS. JUSTINIANO: Yes. Yeah. So it's 7 like a key. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 8 Yeah. 9 MS. JUSTINIANO: Rather than a circle. 10 And it's not a T. 11 MR. ROSTAMI: Yeah. That actually was 12 discussed with the Highway Super. And he 13 actually agreed with the shape of the 14 cul-de-sac. Would that be adequate if he --15 actually, that's through the email, not the 16 letter. 17 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah, I'll --18 BOARD MEMBER BIEHLE: They brought that 19 up last time. There were out there and they 20 were okay with that. 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did we get a letter 22 from Karl, you know, stating that he's good 23 with it? 24 MS. JUSTINIANO: I don't think so. 25 MR. HONAN: I think we should get one

www.courtreportingny.com

```
7
1
                 Proceedings
 2
     for our file so we all can look at it.
 3
          CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah. Yeah.
 4
          MR. HONAN: And you can satisfy
 5
    yourselves that Karl Javenes has no problem
 6
    with this configuration.
 7
          CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah.
8
          MR. ROSTAMI: Okay.
 9
          MR. HONAN: Okay. Hopefully next time
10
    we'll --
11
          MR. ROSTAMI: Yup. Thank you.
12
          CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right.
                                          Thank
13
    you, Vahid.
14
          (Time noted: 7:58 p.m.)
15
16
                     000
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Proceedings

THE FOREGOING IS CERTIFIED to be a true and correct transcription of the original stenographic minutes to the best of my ability.

б

Jennifer L. Johnson